Work style risk and its correlation with other ergonomic risk factors in musculoskeletal disorders - a retrospective report analysis among Indian computer users
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Abstract. The aim of this study was to identify Work style related and other ergonomic risk factors that may be associated with the onset or exacerbation of Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WRMSD) among Information Technology (IT) professionals and to look for the correlation between the two. It is a retrospective report analysis of 5331 professionals working for various IT companies in India. Ergonomic workplace analysis reports of those employees were analysed retrospectively. Report consisted of Demographic data (age, gender etc.), workstation information, working posture information, perceived pain and discomfort, and workstyle questionnaire addressing psychosocial factors. Majority of respondents were males and used laptops, desktops and sometimes both for their work. Majority of the workers worked more than 8 hours but there were significant number who worked less than 3 hours and still had symptoms. Neck pain and lower back pain were the more prevalent, followed by wrist, upper back and shoulder pain. 80% of participants worked with their upper arm and shoulders raised and away from the body. The short form workstyle questionnaire score analysis indicated significant participants with a high risk score (score ≥ 28) for adverse workstyle. Correlation coefficient analyses indicated a significant positive correlation between workstyle score and pain, and posture and regional pain.
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1. Introduction

‘Workstyle’ is proposed as a mechanism by which ergonomic and psychosocial risk factors interact to affect the development, exacerbation and/or maintenance of upper limb pain and functional limitations [6,9]. Use of computer substantially increased in working populations throughout the world as well as in India. 64% of Indian IT professionals reported symptoms of pain and discomfort in a recent study [19]. There are several risk factors associated with the development of workrelated musculoskeletal disorders among the workers who use computer extensively at their workplace. All the risk factors can be divided into two major categories [25]. One is occupational and other in non–occupational/personal. Among the occupational repetition, force, awkward/static postures, duration of exposure and vibration are major risk factors. Workstyle is characterized as an individual’s response to increased work demands [6]. If there is an increased demand then that affect physiological and psychological factors which may interact with physical and psychosocial factors at workplace and enhance the relative risk of the development of musculoskeletal disorders. Psychosocial factor is one of the major risk factor that affects musculoskeletal discomfort and studies have shown that improvement of psychosocial risk factors along with physical risk factor is beneficial for the musculoskeletal health [4]. In a
cross-sectional study, work style was identified as a possible risk factor for neck and upper extremity symptoms related to office and computer work [6]. Some recent longitudinal studies have supported this finding showing an increased risk of neck and upper extremity symptoms develop among subjects using an unfavorable work style [6,13]. Moreover, work style has shown to be related to an adverse health outcome with respect to frequency, intensity and duration of pain, functional limitations and upper extremity symptoms among symptomatic office/computer workers [6,20]. Furthermore, that work style has a predictive value for the same variables [10]. There are studies that have contradictory results. Such study revealed that psychosocial factors at the workplace have no association with musculoskeletal discomfort [2,16].

Keeping above in mind it is expected that workers involved in IT profession may have high prevalence of work related musculoskeletal disorders and that may be associated with workstyle and other ergonomics risk factor. The aim of this study was to identify Workstyle related and other ergonomic risk factors that may be associated with the onset or exacerbation of Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WRMSD) among Information Technology (IT) professionals and to look for the correlation between the two.

2. Method

It is a retrospective report analysis of 5331 professionals working for various IT companies in India. Ergonomic workplace analysis reports of those employees were analysed retrospectively. The report consists of five sections that include various factors that may directly or indirectly affect WRMSD while working with computer. Some sections were self-reported checklists that were filled by the employees and some sections were filled by the trained Ergonomists and Physiotherapists of RECOUP. The sections consisted of Demographics (age, gender etc.), workstation information, working posture information (i.e., head and neck in line with torso, forearm, wrist and hand in line), perceived pain and discomfort, and workstyle questionnaire addressing psychosocial factors [6]. Workstyle questionnaire was developed by Feuerstein[7]. This form consists of total 32 items related explaining workstyle at the workplace. Statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS 17.0 package with a significance level set at p < 0.05 for all tests.

3. Result

The average age of participants was 30 ± 9 years. Descriptive analysis of the demographic data indicated that the majority (71%) of respondents were males. 38% of participants used laptop at work. 45% of participants used computer for more than 9 hours per day. 68% of participants reported pain and discomfort during or shortly after they finish work on the computer. Regional pain distribution and prevalence is presented in Figure 1.
Table 1.
Demographic data of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of computer use per day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 3 hrs.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 6 hrs.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - 9 hrs.</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 9 hrs.</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Prevalence of musculoskeletal pain / discomfort

Neck pain and lower back were more prevalent followed by upper back, wrist, and shoulder pain.
Working postures are represented in Figure 2.
The Figure 2. revealed that 80% of participants worked with their upper arm and shoulders raised and away from the body. The short form workstyle questionnaire score analysis indicated 39.4% of overall participants were at a high risk (score \( \geq 28 \)) of adverse workstyle.

3.1 Correlation

Correlation coefficient analyses indicated a significant correlation between workstyle score and musculoskeletal pain (\( r = 0.85, p = 0.001 \)), posture and regional pain (\( r = 0.62, p = 0.005 \)), daily computer usage and musculoskeletal pain (\( r = 0.46, p = 0.004 \)), micro break and pain (\( r = 0.87, p = 0.001 \)), and between productivity and pain (\( r = 0.95, p = 0.001 \)).

4. Discussion

Studies in literature suggested that intervention in workstyle behavior among computer workers is effective in improvement in some element of workstyle [1]. Recent study revealed a relationship between WRULD complaints and an extreme dedication to one’s job, while work style had an intermediate effect [22]. Study findings indicated that social reactivity, lack of breaks, and deadlines/pressure subscales of the workstyle questionnaire were significant predictors of pain. This finding is consistent with previous studies where high job demands, time pressure, high workload, and lack of social support from colleagues and superiors were suggested as risk factors for development of musculoskeletal disorders [2, 5, 8, 12, 14]. Psychosocial factors refer to perceptions of the work environment by an individual that can result in stress and strain leading to musculoskeletal symptoms [11]. These factors have been related to negative emotions (e.g. worry, fear) and psychosomatic reactions (e.g. sleep deprivation, tiredness) [24]. Existing evidence suggests a direct influence of psychosocial factors on musculoskeletal pain through physiological changes in the body such as increased blood pressure, increased muscle tension, altered postures, and increased physiological stress response [3, 15, 17, 24]. In the present study a significant correlations were observed between Ergonomic risk factors, psychosocial risk factors and musculoskeletal pain symptoms. These findings are in accordance with recent studies evaluating the combined or interactive effects of both physical factors and work-related psychosocial factors on WMSDs [13]. Study carried out by Feuerstein et al on the bank workers revealed that ergonomic intervention with or without a two-time job-stress management training decreased pain and functional disabilities in bank workers with work-related upper extremity musculoskeletal complaints [6]. This result also indicated the importance of a workplace component in treatment of workrelated musculoskeletal
disorders. As the present study revealed that there is a positive relation between workstyle score and the prevalence of musculoskeletal pain, it is expected that if any intervention programme implanted which has an effect on the workstyle factor, may reduce the risk of the development of workrelated musculoskeletal disorders among the IT professional. A study concluded that only ergonomic intervention is less effective that the intervention which include both ergonomics and job stress management or workstyle management [10].

The number of studies examining associations between ergonomic risk factors, psychosocial factors and occurrence of musculoskeletal pain in India are relatively small. This study further validates these observations and extends support for the assessment of working postures and workstyle behaviors during the design of WMSD treatment.

5. Conclusion

The study concluded that correlations were observed between ergonomic risk factors, psychosocial risk factors and musculoskeletal pain symptoms. This study further validated these observations with a larger cohort and extended support for the assessment of working postures and workstyle behaviours during the design of WRMSD prevention and treatment.
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